## **ANNEX B: Logical Framework Analysis**

Country/Region Kenya – Kitui District		Project No.		
	SASOL Foundation Creating Food Security with			
CEA/Partner MCC/CFGB		Project Manager	Cathy and Jim Bowman	
Organization NARRATIVE SUMMARY	EXPECTE	ED RESULTS	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	ASSUMPTIONS / RISK
Project Goal (Program Objective) The goal is to advance the capacity of selected rural communities in Kitui District to obtain increased water suppl as a means to a more diverse, expanded supply of food and to increased income earning opportunities.	land areas.  Improved food seccrop and livestock Improved security to select and store Improved food secrange of nutritional Improved nutritional Improved nutritional Improved status focommunity members Inhanced status focommunity with profull participation of leadership roles av Improved attendan primary school for Greater social stabincome earning opp	urity from increased production. from an expanded ability quality planting seed. urity from an increased options. al status and health of ers. or women in a orgamming that enables women and expands railable to women. uce and performance in community children. bility through improved portunities within a ng males and females, for young males to	a five-year time span of a project. Expected performance indicators that may become visible include:	Assumptions/Risk Indicators     Lack of initiative and lack of understanding of appropriate technology within a community.     An absence of a guided or cooperative vision within a community.     Social instability affects adversely project implementation.     Marketing capacity for perishable produce inadequate to sustain prices if there is a marked increase in the production of such produce in a number of project communities.
Project Purpose	Outcomes		Performance Indicators	Assumptions/Risk Indicators
The purpose is: 1) to increase water storage within dry river beds and surrounding areas; 2) to create stable sources of community water supply as a basis to drive increased food production and incomes in dry land areas; and 3) to assist communities to organize to operate and maintain a sand da and 4) to assist communities to organize to build on the development opportunities presented by this major community asset.	An increased, sust within the commun     Organization(s) the initiatives.     An increased ability supply, including methe water.     Re-vegetation of the community members an increased, more foods within a com     Free time of comm	y to manage water naintaining the quality of ne land controlled by ers. e diverse supply of munity.	From community-based and on-site monitoring and evaluation observations:  • an increase in continuous months, after rains, that water is available in a sand dam site;  • reduced time spent by community members collecting water during the dry season (when water is available in a sand dam/off-take well);  • existence of active organization(s) taking initiatives to build on food security and income generating opportunities presented by the community's investment in and operation of a sand dam;  • planting of trees, shrubs and grasses, including the continued presence of bank-protecting	<ul> <li>Conflict occurs within groups engaged in project implementation.</li> <li>Drought conditions reduce water supply and limit implementation of project activities directly dependent on water.</li> <li>Water in sand dams and off-take wells becomes polluted e.g., from laundering of clothes, deposit and inflow of faeces, and/or pesticides.</li> </ul>

## **ANNEX B: Logical Framework Analysis**

	than collecting water.  Livestock that is healthier, more productive and less likely to die during periods of drought.	grasses and/or shrubs;  an expansion in the range of foods being produced in a community;  a qualitative assessment by the community of increased production and consumption of food from plant sources; and  a qualitative assessment by the community of increased production and consumption of livestock products.	among organizations active in a project area (e.g., mixed messages, poaching of staff, competing for time and resources of community members).  Others claim credit for construction of a particular dam, which affects adversely future mobilization initiatives in that community.
Resources	Outputs	Performance Indicators	Assumptions/Risk Indicators
Community inputs (estimated average value is Kshs 301,600/dam):	Construction of 250 sand dams.	From community-based and on-site monitoring and evaluation observations:	Occurrence of drought so severe that food supplies in
• 1,500 person-days of labour;	Construction of 250 off-take wells.	number of dams and off-take wells constructed;	project communities are reduced significantly.
80 loads of stone, broken to size;	Organize community members to undertake, implement, operate and		
• 3,200 basins of sand;	maintain sand dam processes.  • Terraces, trenches and related water	<ul> <li>construction and maintenance of terraces and trenches on farm land within the community;</li> <li>one or more operational tree nurseries;</li> <li>pieces of badlands that have been</li> </ul>	National political factors affect adversely mobilization initiatives at the community level.
2,800 jerricans of water;			
900 kg of maize and 400 kg of beans;	harvesting structures.  • Tree nurseries.		
• 10,000 Kshs for tea, etc.	Planting of grasses and shrubs.	rehabilitated; and	Improper/inadequate terracing and trenching.
SASOL Foundation inputs (average per sand dam):	Flaiting of grasses and stitubs.	an organization that maintains and operates	Land use planning that has
One mason and one assistant;		community sand dam(s).	adverse effects on community
250 bags of cement;			roads, streams, etc.
4 rolls of barbed wire and 20 re-enforcement bars;			
50' of 2"x2" wood and 1 kg of nails			
• I pump			
20 days of training and capacity building; and			
20 person-days of supervision and technical assistance.			
MCC/CFGB inputs of Kshs. 115,500,000 (US\$1,650,000) to be invested in:			
SASOL's input costs to construct 250 dams and wells;			
pre- and post-dam construction training and capacity building for 250 sand dams; plus			
Kshs. 1,000,000 (US\$14,285) as SASOL portion of an independent end-of-project socio-economic review of SASOL's sand dam projects.			

